

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/100,223	06/19/1998	DOUGLAS WALTER CONMY	52817.000051	8325
29315	7590 09/04/2003			
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC 12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 900			EXAMINER	
			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(s)	
DOUGLAS WALTER	
ence address	
ALLOWANCE. oper reply to a e application in uest for Continued	
ejection, whichever is later. In final rejection. JECTION. See MPEP	
nd the appropriate extension e. The appropriate extension in the final Office action; or ne final rejection, even if	
rth in	
below);	
cing or simplifying the	
ted claims.	

Applicant Application No. CONMY, E 09/100,223 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 3623 Susanna M. Diaz

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond

THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the

condition	on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
٦	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🔲 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: SUSCINA DICZ
	Susanna Diaz
	Other: Susanna Diaz Susanna Diaz Primary Example Au 3633
	Me. 3/23

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant states, "At best, Hotaling et al. teaches providing an 'NP' designation to those invitees who are 'not participating in the Meeting Management service' (see col. 10, lines 3-5). A non-participant is not the same as 'invitees whose schedules could not be found' since one could be a participant and yet have no schedule." (Page 12 of Applicant's response) The Examiner respectfully submits that the claimed invention searches for the schedules of "potential invitees." According to column 10, lines 3-5 of Hotaling, "'NP' denotes invitees not participating in the Meeting Management service." In other words, the people noted as "NP" are indeed invitees (as explicitly stated by Hotaling); however, they merely do not participate in the Meeting Management service, thereby making their schedules unavailable via the automated Meeting Management service. A listing of these invitees who do not participate in the Meeting Management service and therefore whose schedules are unavailable (i.e., cannot be found) through the service are displayed to a user in Fig. 11, thereby addressing the claim limitation, "displaying the one or more potential invitees whose schedules could not be found" (recited in various forms throughout independent claims 1, 4-6, 25, 32, and 33). In conclusion, Applicant's arguments are non-persuasive.